

ANNEX C3

Précis of comments received	Officer response
<u>In Support</u>	
Strongly support	Noted
Definitely support	Noted
Thank you for this timely survey. Parking is an increasing problematic issue for residents. The street is often used by people parking and walking into the city.	Noted
We support the scheme. People park all day for commuting. Vehicles are left for weeks at a time. Not to mention race days. Please ensure the restrictions are implemented, stressful parking situation becoming intolerable.	Noted
Living near Rowntree park & Millennium Bridge is the reason for the need in 24 hour parking restrictions	Noted
We would prefer 24hours as the parking is caused by people using millennium Bridge and the park who use the street as a car park. The parking problems started when the bridge was built	Noted
Weekends can be the worst as the area is used to park for visitors to Rowntree Park	Noted
Fully support the proposed scheme, needs to be 24hours. Driveway frequently obstructed by parked vehicles	Noted
Due to close proximity to Rowntree park we frequently find parking at weekends difficult/impossible	Noted

I strongly support the idea of the single bigger R58C area. No benefit in 9-5 only so support 24hours	Noted
Terry Street is handy for commuter parking and is rarely used by residents. Difficult for friends and family to park, I would welcome the scheme.	Noted

<u>Against the scheme</u>	
I doubt this would make it easier to park close to my house. Why must the first permit cost? Won't the fines support the enforcement. I can only just afford a car without making it more expensive.	If the scheme is introduced the number of commuter vehicles will decrease freeing more on street parking space available for residents
I object to having to pay to park on the road that doesn't even guarantee a space. I am a pensioner and the council tax is bad enough without paying more	Extending the existing ResPark scheme will create one large area available for residents to park within. The City Council does not have to provide parking for residents hence the cost should not fall on the general tax payer.
We are a two car family, due to employment both cars are essential. This proposal wants to charge excess of £200 to solve a problem that doesn't exist.	This view is not shared by the majority of residents.
Ridiculous small minded request. If it were forced upon us I would park on the opposite side of the road, if it was extended opposite I would park in other residential streets and make sure they knew why.	Noted
its one thing limiting access for people living outside the area, however I do not agree with limiting access of people including family and friends who live outside the neighbourhood.	Visitor permits can be purchased to enable family/friends to park when visiting residents.

<p>Additional financial burden, never found parking a problem. Makes it harder for families to have a cheap day out to Rowntree Park. Bikes a bigger problem than traffic or parking</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>If introduced many residents would turn there front gardens into parking spaces which is saddening to see. For example see the RHS campaign 'greening grey Britain' which aims to turn parking spaces into front gardens.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>Do not agree with this money making scheme. It is aimed at the people who least can afford to pay. Strongly disagree as it is causing trouble with neighbours and family.</p>	<p>Schemes are initiated by residents.</p>
<p>No advantage due to particular location of property. Cannot see that ResPark will deter vehicles from parking on the footpath which causes access issues to my drive.</p>	<p>If introduced there should be no need for vehicles to park on pavements as more on street parking will be available to residents only.</p>
<p>Stop introducing ResPark as this creates a knock on effect to areas which didn't previously have a problem. It causes unnecessary stress and financial burden on communities</p>	<p>All residents parking schemes are resident driven and support must be shown before any consultations commence</p>
<p>Penalizing people in poor housing who cannot afford off street parking or a private garage. Poor pensioners at least should have it for free. It is a lot of money for us who are struggling.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>Quiet cul de sac and all properties have drives. If other streets are having problems it shouldn't affect our road and we certainly should not have to pay for ourselves or visitors to park</p>	<p>The street in question has a majority vote in favour of introducing residents parking</p>

Why Should I pay to park outside my home just because neighbouring street are full of commuter parking. Provide more parking and stop trying to make cash. You are creating the problem by pushing ResPark further from York centre.	No obligation to provide on street parking. All new schemes are resident lead.
Majority of residents are being penalised due to having no off street parking and no guarantee of a space on street.	Creating one extended larger respark area gives residents more flexibility when parking in the area
This is a financial burden. Should properties with off street parking have the right to vote? To charge on emissions basis is ridiculous as vehicles are parked. The parking situation is caused by inconsiderate drivers who use millennium bridge, it is them that should be fined	Everyone residing within a proposed scheme gets the chance to vote and express any comments. Visitor and builders permits may still be required by properties that have off street parking
Absolutely not. Brexit then trump now this!	Noted

<u>General Comments</u>	
Would like to see a discount for individual B&B's we only have a few rooms	Permit prices are agreed at annual fees and charges decision sessions
If the scheme goes ahead would the council be prepared to provide a car park?	Park & Ride available on approached into the city. Car park already available for visiting Rowntree's Park
Biggest problem caused by cars is the damage to grass verges. If this was discouraged by CYC then respark would not be required	If introduced there should be no need for residents to park on grass verges as more on street parking will be available.
People parking and walking into the city is a good thing, council should provide suitable places for the commuters to park. Two possible sites are the car park on b'thorpe rd opposite terrys site and the car park on Terry Avenue	The council does not have a duty to provide on street parking. There are already car parks and park & ride available to people travelling into York city centre.

<p>Can we stop people driving on the grass verges?</p>	<p>The need to drive or park on grass verge should decrease if a residents parking scheme is introduced, as the amount of vehicles in the area will reduce more on street parking should become available to residents.</p>
<p>If a partial implementation excluding Bish Road is to be introduced then our vote would change. No if all or nothing but yes if sections are to be respark</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>Restrict parking Mon – Fri 10am -12pm This 2hour window was used effectively in London. It stops the commuter parking but causes minimum disruption to resident’s visitors etc. Also focuses traffic wardens</p>	<p>This would then still attract visitor to the park and race day parking which has been highlighted as a concern by several residents in the area.</p>